Impact on IVF outcome following Pre-IVF hysteroscopy and endometrial scratching
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Abstract

Background: Transvaginal ultrasonography and hysteroscopy are the tools to assess the inner architecture of the uterus. In India as such very few studies were conducted to see the effect of endometrial injury on the pregnancy outcome, so the current study was conducted to assess the efficacy of hysteroscopy in identifying the uterine pathologies and to assess the pregnancy rate following the endometrial scratching. Methods: A prospective cohort study was conducted during June 2012 to May 2015 at KJK Hospital, Trivandrum. All patients undergoing IVF in the said duration are scheduled for Pre IVF Hysteroscopy prior to the onset of periods. All the study population had undergone a transvaginal USG and hysteroscopy. Half of the study population (n=175) had underwent endometrial scratching and the occurrence of clinical pregnancy was compared among the group who had not undergone the endometrial scratching. Results: The sensitivity (100%) and specificity (85%) was much higher for hysteroscopy when compared with USG. There was a statistically significant difference in the occurrence of clinical pregnancy among the patients for whom endometrial scratching done when compared to those who had not undergone endometrial scratching (p<.0001). Conclusions: Through hysteroscopy, the intrauterine pathologies and structural uterine abnormalities that may be responsible for the failure of IVF can be detected and treated, resulting in improved pregnancy rates and the clinical pregnancy and implantation rates significantly increase after endometrial scratching in same cycle in patients with good-quality embryos.
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Introduction

Among the in vitro fertilization (IVF) and intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), the implantation rate per embryo transferred usually does not exceed 30%, although higher rates with the use of blastocysts have been reported, depending on female age [1,2].

Embryo quality, good conditions of the uterine environment, a skillful IVF laboratory and embryo transfer are essential in order to achieve a pregnancy in IVF. Unsuspected uterine cavity abnormalities, such as endometrial polyps, small submucous myomas, adhesions and septa are considered to lessen the chances to conceive through IVF [3].

Hysterosalpingography, transvaginal ultrasonography, saline infusion sonography and hysteroscopy are the various measures to assess the inner architecture of the uterus [4]. Hysteroscopy is considered to be the gold standard; whereas, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends only hysterosalpingography (HSG) [5] The explanation for this discrepancy is that HSG provides information on tubal patency or blockage.

Office hysteroscopy is only recommended by the WHO when clinical or complementary exams suggest intrauterine abnormality [6]. Nevertheless, many specialists feel that hysteroscopy is a more accurate tool because of the high false-positive and false negative rates of intrauterine abnormality with HSG[7-9] because of that many specialists use hysteroscopy as a first-line routine exam for infertility patients regardless of guidelines. But the validity of hysteroscopy is limited in the diagnosis of endometritis and endometrial hyperplasia [5].

The prevalence of minor intrauterine abnormalities identified at hysteroscopy in cases with a normal
transvaginal sonography has been recorded as very high (40%). Diagnosing and treating such pathologies prior to initiating IVF/ICSI is highly recommended to have a positive outcome [5].

Implantation failure is presently the major barrier in human fertility and it is attributed to the failure of the uterus to acquire receptivity. For transition into a receptive uterus, it needs the following things to occur, cellular changes in the endometrium and the modulated expression of different cytokines, growth factors, transcription factors, and prostaglandins. Embryo implantation is associated with an active Th1 inflammatory response while a Th2-humoral inflammation is required for pregnancy maintenance. Inspite of all these measures, repeated implantation failure continues to be a big challenge ahead [10].

Barash et al [11] were the first to study the effect of endometrial scratching on the pregnancy outcome.[12] They demonstrated a significant doubling of the implantation, clinical pregnancy, and live birth rates in patients who underwent endometrial scraping in the cycle immediately preceding the IVF cycle. They hypothesized that the injury to the endometrium could lead to a massive secretion of growth factors and cytokines during the process of wound healing which would help the embryo to get implanted.

**Aims and Objectives**

1. To assess the incidence of undiagnosed intrauterine pathology based on pre IVF Hysteroscopy findings and compare it with the findings of USG.
2. To assess the pregnancy rate following the endometrial scratching.

**Methodology:** A prospective cohort study was conducted during June 2012 to May 2015 at KJK Hospital, Trivandrum. All patients undergoing IVF in the said duration are scheduled for Pre IVF Hysteroscopy prior to the onset of periods. A total of 362 women were included in the study.

**Technique:** All women in whom hysteroscopy was done were informed about the technique and the potential risks in the form of a written consent. The selected women underwent the procedure of hysteroscopy under general anesthesia in the lithotomy position. A rigid hysteroscope was put into the uterine cavity under visual control after cervical dilatation of five to nine millimeters; normal saline was used as the distension medium, keeping the uterine pressure between 100 and 150 mm of mercury.

Intrauterine lesions, such as, synechiae, polyps, submucosal myomas, septae, and so on, were treated with scissors and resectoscope. Every hysteroscopy was followed by endometrial scratching with the scope itself and the material obtained was sent for histopathological examination.

In order to assess the impact of endometrial scratching, it was done on 50% of the study population.

**Protocol of stimulation in subsequent IVF/ICSI attempts:** Depending upon the diagnosis and the procedure done, the women were either stimulated immediately or after some period for IVF/ICSI cycle. The women were downregulated with oral contraceptive pills and Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analogues. Injection HMG (Human Menopausal Gonadotrophin) was started from the second day of menses and simultaneous follicular monitoring was done from the sixth day. Injection HCG (Human Chorionic Gonadotrophin) was given when a minimum of three leading follicles were 16.18 mm size. Thirty-six hours later oocyte retrieval was performed followed by IVF/ICSI, and then the embryo transfer. Data entry and analysis was performed in SPSS version 17.0.

**Results**

Among the 362 women 15 were excluded from the study as embryo transfer was not done on them and so the sample size was 347. The demographic parameters among the study population shows that the mean age of the mothers was 36.25 years and their mean duration of marriage life was 5.75 years. For majority of the study population it was the 2nd attempt for IVF (Table 1). The uterine pathologies which were picked up hysteroscopy was almost 4 times more than the uterine anomalies reported by USG. The sensitivity (100%) and specificity (85%) was much higher for hysteroscopy when compared with USG (Table 2).

The most common pathology detected by hysteroscopy among the study population was polyp followed by adhesions. All the pathologies detected by hysteroscopy were corrected by various interventions. The various pathologies detected and the interventions done through hysteroscopy were highlighted under table 3.
Table 1: Mean and SD of the demographic parameters among the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic parameter</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of mother (in years)</td>
<td>36.25</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of married life (in years)</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of attempts (IVF)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the findings between hysteroscopy and ultrasonogram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>USG</th>
<th>Hysteroscopy</th>
<th>P value (Chisquare test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathology detected</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pathology</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity of Hysteroscopy</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity of hysteroscopy</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distribution of the study population based on the type of pathologies detected by hysteroscopy and the interventions done

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of pathologies</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Type of interventions</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyp</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
<td>Polypectomy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcuate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>Adhesiolysis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial septum</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>SMF resection</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulge in anterior wall</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick endometrium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicornuate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Endometrial scratching and the impact of pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endometrial scratching</th>
<th>Urine pregnancy test positive</th>
<th>Urine pregnancy test negative</th>
<th>P value (Chi square test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Done (n=175)</td>
<td>106 (60.5%)</td>
<td>69 (39.4%)</td>
<td>&lt;.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not done (n=172)</td>
<td>16 (9.3%)</td>
<td>156 (90.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For assessing the impact of endometrial scratching the study population were divided into two groups for one group (n=175) the scratching was done and for the other group the scratching was not done (n=172). Among the patients for whom the scratching was done, in the follow up 106 had clinical pregnancy which was confirmed by urine pregnancy test. There was a statistically significant difference in the occurrence of clinical pregnancy among the patients for whom endometrial scratching was done when compared to those who had not undergone endometrial scratching (p<.0001) (Table 4).

Discussions
Several studies have compared the diagnostic values of transvaginal ultrasonography and hysteroscopy in diagnosing uterine pathologies. However, distributions of the uterine conditions vary in those samples. A recent study by Vitner et al. found higher sensitivity and specificity for hysteroscopy in diagnosing uterine myomas, when compared to TVU; whereas, TVU had higher sensitivity for diagnosing the retained products of conception [13]. On the other hand, they failed to find a statistical difference between the two methods for the diagnosis of the polyps. In that study, the frequencies of endometrial polyps, uterine myomas and retained products of conception were close to each other: 27, 32 and 38% of the sample population, respectively. In contrast, uterine polyps comprised a great proportion of the patient sample in this study (n=37, 57.8%), and we found better sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing polyps with hysteroscopy.

Similar to the findings in this study, Mukhopadhayay et al. found a high sensitivity (71.4%) and specificity (100.0%) for hysteroscopy for diagnosing polyps [14] with strong agreement with biopsy findings (k=0.81). Soguktas et al. found better diagnostic value for hysteroscopy when compared to both saline infusion sonography and transvaginal ultrasonography in detecting uterine polyps; however, for detection of any uterine pathology, hysteroscopy and saline infusion sonography had similar efficacy but better than transvaginal ultrasonography [15]. Similarly, Mathlouthi et al. [16] and Yela et al. [17] found diagnostic values in favor of hysteroscopy for the diagnosis of uterine pathologies. In the study by Yela et al., the specificity of TVU in particular was remarkably low (7.4%) for the detection of uterine disease [17]. Kasraeian et al., examined the diagnostic value of transvaginal ultrasonography in non-bleeding postmenopausal women and found only moderate accuracy to diagnose uterine pathologies [18].

The place of routine hysteroscopy in the management ofinfertile women without other diagnosed or doubtful intrauterine pathologies is still a matter of debate [19]. The two main problems that argue against the case of hysteroscopy are: first, it is an invasive procedure, and second, there is still an ongoing debate about the real significance of the observed intrauterine pathology on fertility [20]. Nevertheless, in a study by Shoker et al., it was suggested that 26% of the patients with normal hysterosalpingography were with abnormal hysteroscopic findings [21].

The impact of polyps on infertility is mainly dependent on their size and location. A prospective randomized study of the impact of polyps on an IVF program, by Lass et al. [22] concluded that small endometrial polyps (less than two centimeters) do not decrease the pregnancy rate after IVF, but a trend toward increased pregnancy loss exists.

The available data on the role of submucous myomas in infertility and the impact of hysteroscopic myomectomy on pregnancy outcome shows encouraging results. Authors have reported clinical pregnancy rates ranging from 31% to 77% post myomectomy. Women who had myomectomies for myomas more than two centimeters had significantly higher pregnancy and live birth rates than women in whom myomectomy was not done [23].

The local injury of the endometrium in IVF patients with septate uterus has also been studied extensively [24]. A meta analysis of retrospective data comparing pregnancy outcome before and after hysteroscopic septoplasty indicated a marked improvement after surgery, in increasing the pregnancy rate and decreasing the miscarriage rate.

The role of hysteroscopic septum resection in patients with septate uterus is strongly recommended [24]. A meta analysis of retrospective data comparing pregnancy outcomes before and after hysteroscopic septoplasty indicated a marked improvement after surgery, in increasing the pregnancy rate and decreasing the miscarriage rate. Moreover, this protocol that increased the implantation rate did not enhance the risk of multiple pregnancy.

The evidence is strongly in favour of inducing local endometrial injury in the preceding cycle of ovarian stimulation to improve pregnancy outcomes in women with unexplained RIF. However, large randomized studies are required before iatrogenic induction of local endometrial injury can be warranted in routine clinical practice.

Some women undergoing IVF treatment fail to conceive despite several attempts with good-quality embryos and no identifiable reason. We call this “recurrent implantation failure” (RIF) where the embryo fails to embed or implant within the lining of the womb. Studies have shown that inducing injury to the lining of the womb in the cycle before starting ovarian stimulation for IVF can help improve the chances of achieving pregnancy. Injury can be induced by either scratching the lining of the womb with biopsy cannula or through hysteroscopy. Inducing injury is 70% more likely to result in a clinical pregnancy as opposed to no treatment.
Furthermore, scratching of the lining was 2-times more likely to result in a clinical pregnancy compared with hysteroscopic evaluation of the uterus. In women with RIF, inducing local injury to the womb lining in the cycle prior to starting ovarian stimulation for IVF can improve pregnancy outcomes [25]. So, endometrial scratching in a systematic manner by non-traumatizing instrument is associated with higher implantation potential.

Conclusions

The role of hysteroscopy in patients undergoing IVF seems to be vital for patients in whom an IVF is being contemplated, for treatment of infertility. Intrauterine pathologies and structural uterine abnormalities that may be responsible for the failure of IVF can be detected and treated, resulting in improved pregnancy rates. The clinical pregnancy and implantation rates significantly increase after endometrial scratching in the same cycle in patients with good-quality embryos. This phenomenon could be due to the injury-induced endometrial decidualization secondary to upregulation of genes encoding for locally acting mediators.
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